ICAIS — FAI UNISMUH MAKASSAR —	International Conference on Actual Islamic Studies		
	Vol. 4 No. 1	April 2026	ISSN: 2988-7925

Peran Kepemimpinan Digital Kepala Sekolah dalam Membangun Budaya Sekolah yang Inovatif

The Role of School Principals' Digital Leadership in Fostering an Innovative School Culture

Pardiyawan[1], Baharuddin[2]
Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi, Indonesia[1] | email: pardiyawan93@guru.sd.belajar.id
Universitas Islam 45 Bekasi, Indonesia[2] | email: baharuddin@unismabekasi.ac.id

Kata Kunci: kepemimpinan digital; kepala sekolah; budaya sekolah inovatif; transformasi digital; Indonesia

Abstrak

Kepemimpinan digital kepala sekolah memengaruhi arah integrasi teknologi, pengembangan profesional guru, pemerataan akses, dan budaya inovasi. Artikel ini menggunakan tinjauan literatur integratif untuk mensintesis temuan internasional dan bukti empiris terpilih dari Indonesia. Tujuannya bukan mengklaim pengamatan langsung terhadap sekolah Indonesia, tetapi mengidentifikasi pola global dan menurunkan implikasi kontekstual bagi sekolah di Indonesia. Analisis menghasilkan lima ranah: visi dan tata kelola digital, infrastruktur dan pemerataan, pengembangan profesional, kolaborasi organisasi, serta perbaikan berbasis bukti. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa kepemimpinan digital efektif ketika visi, kapasitas guru, infrastruktur, budaya kolaboratif, keamanan digital, dan keterlibatan siswa saling terhubung. Artikel ini juga memperluas dua tabel sintesis agar memuat mekanisme, indikator implementasi, dan batas transfer antar-konteks.

Keywords: digital leadership; school principals; innovative school culture; digital transformation; Indonesia

Abstract

School principals' digital leadership shapes technology integration, teacher professional development, equitable access, and innovative school culture. This integrative literature review synthesizes international findings and selected empirical evidence from Indonesia. The study does not claim direct observation of Indonesian schools; instead, it identifies global patterns and derives context-sensitive implications for Indonesian schooling. Five domains emerged: digital vision and governance, infrastructure and equity, professional development, organizational collaboration, and evidence-informed improvement. Effective digital leadership depends on alignment among vision, teacher capacity, infrastructure, collaborative culture, digital safety, and student engagement. Two synthesis tables were expanded to show leadership mechanisms, observable implementation indicators, and contextual limits on transferability. The resulting framework should be validated through field-based research in Indonesian schools.

INTRODUCTION

Digital leadership has become a central dimension of educational leadership because the value of technology in schools depends less on the mere presence of devices than on the organizational conditions that enable meaningful use. Earlier studies show that leadership influences technology planning, access, professional learning, and the alignment of digital tools with pedagogical goals.[1]This shifts attention from technology acquisition to the principal's capacity to create direction, coordinate people and resources, and sustain organizational learning.

The consequences of weak digital leadership are visible at several levels. Technology integration may remain superficial; teachers may receive equipment without adequate pedagogical support; students may experience unequal access; and innovation may depend on isolated enthusiasts rather than on school-wide routines. Research on digitalization also shows that principals face technical, pedagogical, administrative, and organizational demands simultaneously, making digital leadership a socio-technical rather than purely technical task.[2]

Given the geographically uneven evidence base, this study does not claim direct empirical representation of Indonesian schools. Instead, its objective is to synthesize global and Indonesian literature on school principals' digital leadership, identify mechanisms through which leadership may foster an innovative school culture, and derive context-sensitive implications for Indonesian schools.

This scope requires careful attention to transferability. International literature provides useful concepts concerning visionary leadership, teacher development, digital equity, collaboration, and student engagement, but these findings cannot automatically be transferred to Indonesia. Selected Indonesian studies indicate that digital leadership challenges include digital communication, digital competitive intelligence, digital resilience, technology-enabled supervision, and uneven infrastructure. [3] Accordingly, Indonesian evidence is used as a contextual lens for interpreting the broader literature.

The study addresses four questions: (1) What leadership capabilities recur across the digital-leadership literature? (2) Through what mechanisms may these capabilities contribute to an innovative school culture? (3) How are digital access, teacher professional development, learning climate, and student engagement connected to digital leadership? and (4) Which contextual

[1] Anderson and Dexter, 'School Technology Leadership,' 49-82; Dexter, 'School Technology Leadership,' 166-189.

[2] Håkansson Lindqvist and Pettersson, 'Digitalization and School Leadership,' 218-230; Selwyn, Education and Technology.

[3] Hidayat and Patras, 'Digital Leadership of School Principals in Indonesia,' 32-42; Ansar and Marzuki, 'Implementasi Kepemimpinan Pembelajaran Kepala Sekolah Berbasis Digital di SMAN 2 Makassar.'

considerations are especially relevant to Indonesian schools?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Digital Leadership in Education

Digital leadership in education refers to the capacity of school leaders to align technological change with educational purpose. It includes vision setting, resource allocation, pedagogical understanding, digital communication, professional learning, and governance of risks such as privacy and digital safety. Anderson and Dexter's foundational study demonstrated that technology leadership is an important predictor of effective technology use, while later work has emphasized the growing complexity of leading school digitalization.[4]

A contemporary interpretation must also be dynamic. A recent systematic review argues that digital leadership should be understood in relation to rapidly changing technologies, lifelong learning, and digital safety rather than as a fixed checklist of technical skills.[5]This is particularly relevant for principals because technology decisions increasingly shape curriculum, communication, assessment, school administration, and relations with families and communities.

Innovative School Culture

An innovative school culture is characterized by collective learning, openness to improvement, responsible experimentation, and the capacity to translate ideas into better teaching and organizational practice. Leadership matters because innovation requires psychological and organizational permission: teachers need time, resources, professional trust, collaboration, and access to relevant learning opportunities. Digital leadership can therefore influence innovation indirectly by shaping these enabling conditions.[6]

Professional development is central to this process. Principals can support teachers by differentiating professional learning according to experience and need, creating professional learning communities, and linking training to authentic instructional problems rather than to one-off technology demonstrations. Research on principals' roles in teacher development confirms that school leaders function not only as administrators but also as facilitators of learning and organizational capacity.[7]

Digital Equity, Learning Climate, and Student Engagement

Digital leadership also has an equity dimension. Access to devices, connectivity, technical support, and high-quality digital pedagogy varies substantially across schools and communities. Without deliberate leadership, digitalization can reproduce

[4] Anderson and Dexter, 'School Technology Leadership,' 49-82; Håkansson Lindqvist and Pettersson, 'Digitalization and School Leadership,' 218-230.

[5] Zhu et al., 'Digital Leadership in Education,' 1474-1483.

[6] Sterrett and Richardson, 'Innovation beyond the Pandemic,' 14-17.

[7] Mthanti and Msiza, 'The Roles of the School Principals,' 2267934.

or intensify existing inequalities. Evidence on technological disparities shows that access and instructional practice are interdependent: devices alone do not guarantee meaningful learning if teachers lack support or students face unequal opportunities to participate.[8]

The learning climate provides a bridge between leadership and student engagement. Student engagement in digital environments includes behavioral participation, emotional investment, cognitive effort, and social connection. Research on digitally connected classrooms and technology-supported engagement suggests that leadership affects students indirectly through teacher practice, learning design, platform choices, and the quality of relationships created around technology.[9]

Indonesian Evidence and Context

Empirical evidence from Indonesia reinforces the need for contextual interpretation. Hidayat and Patras, using data from 623 teachers and follow-up interviews, identified digital competitive intelligence, digital communication, and digital resilience as areas that remain difficult to realize in school leadership.[10] In a case study at SMAN 2 Makassar, Ansar and Marzuki found that digital instructional leadership was expressed through digital attendance, system-based teaching assignments, Google Drive for instructional documents, technology-enabled supervision, teacher guidance, and digital monitoring of student progress.[11] These findings illustrate that digital leadership in Indonesia is closely tied to everyday management routines, supervision, communication, and infrastructure rather than only to abstract technological vision.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a qualitative, structured integrative literature-review design. The design is appropriate because the purpose is to synthesize concepts and empirical findings rather than to collect primary data from schools. Library research is treated here as a form of scholarly inquiry in which published evidence becomes the unit of analysis.[12]

The review corpus consists of peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, conference proceedings, and selected Indonesian studies relevant to school digital leadership, innovative school culture, professional development, digital equity, and student engagement. The available search documentation included Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and SINTA and used combinations of terms such as digital

[8] Song, Kidd, and Owens, 'Examining Technological Disparities,' 17-37; Williams, Carr, and Clifton, 'Technology and Urban Youth,' 101-113.

[9] MacLeod et al., 'Technological Factors and Student-to-Student Connected Classroom Climate,' 826-847; Wiseman, Kennedy, and Lodge, 'Models for Understanding Student Engagement,' 666-671.

[10] Hidayat and Patras, 'Digital Leadership of School Principals in Indonesia,' 32-42.

[11] Ansar and Marzuki, 'Implementasi Kepemimpinan Pembelajaran Kepala Sekolah Berbasis Digital di SMAN 2 Makassar.'

[12] Creswell, Research Design; Zed, Metode Penelitian Kepustakaan.

leadership, school principal, educational technology, innovative school culture, teacher professional development, digital equity, student engagement, and Indonesia. Because the available search log did not preserve a complete PRISMA-style record of all retrieved and excluded studies, this article is reported as an integrative review rather than as a systematic review.

Source selection followed four analytical criteria. First, the source had to address school-level or educational leadership rather than digital leadership in unrelated sectors. Second, it had to contribute evidence or theory concerning at least one of the study domains: leadership competence, innovation culture, teacher development, access/equity, or student engagement. Third, priority was given to peer-reviewed and methodologically transparent sources. Fourth, Indonesian empirical sources were included purposively to prevent the contextual discussion from relying exclusively on international literature.

Analysis combined qualitative content analysis and thematic analysis. Following Braun and Clarke's logic, the researchers familiarized themselves with the corpus, coded recurring leadership practices and outcomes, grouped codes into candidate themes, reviewed overlaps and contradictions, and developed interpretive themes that connected leadership practices with organizational mechanisms. [13] The analysis did not merely count references; it asked how a leadership practice was expected to work, which organizational condition it depended on, what outcome it was associated with, and where the evidence might not transfer across contexts.

The resulting synthesis was organized into five domains: (1) digital vision and governance, (2) supportive infrastructure and equity, (3) differentiated professional development, (4) collaboration and organizational learning, and (5) evidence-informed continuous improvement. The Indonesian studies were then compared with these themes to identify context-sensitive implications. This procedure strengthens methodological coherence between the library-research design and the claims made in the Results and Discussion.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Digital Leadership as Socio-Technical Leadership

The synthesis indicates that digital competence is necessary but insufficient. Effective digital leadership integrates technological understanding with pedagogical judgment, organizational coordination, communication, and ethical governance. Håkansson Lindqvist and Pettersson show that school digitalization simultaneously creates technical, pedagogical, administrative, and organizational

[13] Braun and Clarke, 'Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology,' 77-101.

challenges. [14] A principal who is technically competent but unable to build teacher trust, allocate resources, or create a coherent learning agenda may therefore produce fragmented digitalization.

This finding reframes 'digital competence' as a distributed organizational capability. The principal's role is to set direction, make trade-offs visible, protect equitable access, and create conditions in which teachers can learn together. The relevant unit of change is not the principal alone but the school as a learning organization.

2. How Digital Leadership Fosters an Innovative School Culture

Across the literature, innovation is most credible when leadership connects vision with support. Visionary statements do not produce innovation unless teachers have infrastructure, time, professional learning, decision-making space, and feedback mechanisms. Studies of digital principal leadership and teacher professional development therefore converge on a mechanism of enabled experimentation: leaders create direction and boundaries, while teachers adapt technology to instructional needs and collectively learn from implementation.[15]

Dimension / Strategy	Expanded Analytical Interpretation
Digital vision and governance	The principal translates a digital vision into priorities, roles, timelines, ethical safeguards, and resource decisions. The mechanism is coherence: teachers can connect technology choices with school goals rather than treating digitalization as isolated projects. Observable indicators include a shared roadmap, transparent platform decisions, and regular review of implementation.
Supportive environment and equity	Support includes reliable infrastructure, technical assistance, equitable student access, protected time for teacher learning, and psychological safety for responsible experimentation. The mechanism is reduction of practical and social barriers. Indicators include connectivity reliability, support response time, accessibility, and reduced participation gaps.
Differentiated professional development	Professional learning is matched to teachers' starting competence, subject needs, and authentic classroom problems and is followed by coaching or peer support. The mechanism is capability building rather than compliance. Indicators include participation, application in teaching, peer sharing, and changes in instructional practice.
Digital competence and resilience	Leaders develop not only technical skill but also digital communication, problem solving, data judgment, safety awareness, and the capacity to adapt when technology or policy changes. The mechanism is adaptive capacity. Indicators include confident troubleshooting, responsible communication, and continuity during disruption.
Collaborative learning and distributed leadership	Teachers participate in PLCs, peer mentoring, joint design, and decision-making. The principal distributes expertise and legitimizes teacher leadership. The mechanism is organizational learning. Indicators include shared lesson design, peer observation, cross-subject collaboration, and teacher-led innovation.
Evidence-informed improvement	Leaders use data on access, participation, learning, and implementation to refine decisions rather than to monitor compliance alone. The mechanism is feedback. Indicators include periodic review, documented adjustments, stakeholder feedback, and discontinuation of tools that do not add educational value.

Table 1. Expanded synthesis of school-principal strategies for fostering an innovative digital culture.

Table 1 moves beyond generic labels by identifying the mechanism through which each strategy may influence school culture. The table also makes clear that a 'supportive environment' is not simply the provision of infrastructure. It includes equitable

[14] Håkansson Lindqvist and Pettersson, 'Digitalization and School Leadership,' 218-230.

[15] Sterrett and Richardson, 'Innovation beyond the Pandemic,' 14-17; Mthanti and Msiza, 'The Roles of the School Principals,' 2267934.

access, technical assistance, time allocation, psychological safety, and transparent decision-making. Likewise, differentiated professional development is not synonymous with training; it links teachers' starting competence, subject needs, classroom problems, coaching, and follow-up.

3. Digital Equity as a Leadership Responsibility

The literature consistently shows that infrastructure and access remain foundational. Yet equity is not achieved when all students merely receive the same device or platform. Leaders must consider connectivity, accessibility, family resources, teacher readiness, technical support, and the pedagogical value of the technology being adopted. Studies of digital disparities indicate that inequity emerges from the interaction of access and instructional practice.[16]

For Indonesian schools, this point is especially important because local conditions differ substantially across urban, rural, and remote settings. Context-sensitive digital leadership therefore requires prioritization: a school with unstable connectivity may gain more from reliable basic infrastructure and offline-compatible learning design than from adopting multiple sophisticated platforms. The leadership question is not 'Which technology is newest?' but 'Which combination of access, pedagogy, and support produces the greatest educational value for this school?'

4. Learning Climate and Student Engagement

Digital leadership influences students primarily through mediated pathways. Principals rarely determine student engagement directly; instead, they shape teacher development, learning design, digital norms, platform ecosystems, and the quality of technology-supported interaction. Research on digitally connected classrooms and student engagement supports a multidimensional understanding that includes behavioral, emotional, cognitive, and social participation.[17]

Dimension / Strategy	Expanded Analytical Interpretation
Digital leadership style	Visionary, collaborative, adaptive, and ethically grounded leadership shapes the conditions in which technology is used. Its influence is primarily indirect, operating through teacher capacity, resource allocation, norms, and organizational trust.
Learning climate	A positive technology-supported climate combines clear expectations, safety, teacher presence, interaction, and equitable participation. Leadership contributes by setting norms and supporting teachers to design inclusive learning rather than by simply increasing technology use.
Student engagement	Engagement includes behavioral participation, emotional investment, cognitive effort, and social connection. It rises when technology supports meaningful tasks, timely feedback, collaboration, and an appropriate level of challenge; it may decline when tools increase distraction or cognitive load.
Digital equity	Access must include devices, connectivity, accessibility, support, and opportunities to use technology for

[16] Song, Kidd, and Owens, 'Examining Technological Disparities,' 17-37; Muyambi and Ramorola, 'Unveiling Educators' Readiness to Teach through Digital Media.'

[17] MacLeod et al., 'Technological Factors and Student-to-Student Connected Classroom Climate,' 826-847; Gunuc, 'Testing Campus-Class-Technology Theory in Student Engagement,' 492-508.

	high-quality learning. Leadership should monitor participation gaps and adapt implementation to students' socioeconomic and geographic conditions.
Implementation barriers	Resistance to change, uneven teacher competence, unstable infrastructure, workload, fragmented platforms, and weak technical support can interrupt the leadership-to-engagement pathway. These conditions should be treated as management problems rather than as individual teacher deficits.
Opportunities and safeguards	Data analytics, collaborative platforms, blended learning, and digital communication can improve coordination and learning when accompanied by privacy, safety, accessibility, and pedagogical criteria. Leaders should evaluate educational value, not adoption rates alone.
Practical learning strategies	Problem-based, project-based, collaborative, and reflective learning can increase students' sense of competence when digital tools serve the task. Leadership supports these strategies through professional learning, curriculum alignment, and access to suitable resources.

Table 2. Analytical relationships among digital leadership, learning climate, equity, and student engagement.

The expanded synthesis in Table 2 distinguishes leadership mechanisms from outcomes. This is important because a positive association between technology use and engagement does not mean that every digital initiative is beneficial. Student engagement depends on the quality of tasks, teacher presence, interaction, feedback, accessibility, and the match between the technology and the learning objective. Research on online learning similarly indicates that situational engagement can be strengthened through carefully designed activities rather than through technology exposure alone.[18]

5. Contextual Implications for Indonesian Schools

The Indonesian evidence adds specificity to the global synthesis. Hidayat and Patras show that digital communication and digital resilience remain practical challenges for principals, suggesting that leadership development should include not only platform skills but also strategic communication, sense-making, and the capacity to sustain change under uncertainty. [19] Ansar and Marzuki demonstrate that digital leadership can be embedded in routine school management through attendance systems, digital teaching assignments, shared document repositories, structured supervision, and monitoring of student progress.[20]

These findings imply a staged approach for Indonesian schools. First, principals need a minimum digital-governance foundation: stable communication channels, clear responsibilities, data protection, and basic infrastructure. Second, they need teacher-capacity systems that combine coaching, peer learning, and subject-relevant professional development. Third, they need routines for using evidence from learning, attendance, and participation without reducing school improvement to administrative dashboards. Fourth, they need mechanisms for listening to students, families, and teachers so that

[18] Vilhunen et al., 'Promoting University Students' Situational Engagement,' 100987.

[19] Hidayat and Patras, 'Digital Leadership of School Principals in Indonesia,' 32-42.

[20] Ansar and Marzuki, 'Implementasi Kepemimpinan Pembelajaran Kepala Sekolah Berbasis Digital di SMAN 2 Makassar.'

digitalization responds to actual educational problems.

6. An Integrated Pathway from Digital Leadership to Innovation

The synthesis supports a conceptual pathway with five linked stages. Digital vision and governance provide direction; equitable infrastructure and support establish enabling conditions; differentiated professional learning strengthens teacher capability; collaboration and experimentation transform capability into innovative practice; and evidence-informed reflection sustains improvement. Student engagement and learning climate are downstream outcomes shaped by this pathway rather than automatic consequences of technology adoption.

This pathway also clarifies the limits of transferability. Practices developed in well-resourced systems may not be immediately feasible in settings with limited connectivity, high teacher workload, or restricted technical support. Context therefore functions as a moderator. The same leadership principle - for example, collaboration - may be enacted through sophisticated online professional networks in one setting and through low-bandwidth messaging, shared local resources, and face-to-face teacher communities in another.

7. Limitations and Research Agenda

The review has three limitations. First, the evidence base remains internationally weighted despite the addition of Indonesian studies. Second, the absence of a complete search log means that the review cannot claim the reproducibility of a PRISMA systematic review. Third, literature-based synthesis cannot establish causal effects within Indonesian schools. Future research should therefore test the proposed pathway through multi-site case studies, mixed-method studies, and longitudinal designs in diverse Indonesian regions.

CONCLUSION

Digital leadership should be understood as a socio-technical form of educational leadership that connects technology with vision, pedagogy, organizational learning, equity, and student participation. The literature does not support a narrow interpretation in which principals' digital competence alone produces innovation. Instead, innovation emerges when leadership aligns direction, infrastructure, teacher learning, collaboration, and evidence-informed improvement.

Consistent with its literature-based design, this study synthesizes global scholarship while using Indonesian empirical studies to derive context-sensitive implications rather than claiming direct observation of Indonesian schools. Indonesian evidence emphasizes digital communication, digital resilience, technology-enabled supervision, teacher guidance, and infrastructure-sensitive implementation.

The two synthesis tables provide a more operational account of how leadership strategies are expected to work and which indicators can be

observed. They also highlight conditions that constrain transfer across contexts. Future research should validate these mechanisms in Indonesian schools and examine how digital leadership interacts with local school culture, resource inequality, teacher workload, and student needs.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future studies should develop context-sensitive instruments for assessing school principals' digital leadership and should combine leadership measures with indicators of teacher learning, innovation culture, equitable access, and student engagement. Multi-site studies across urban, rural, and remote schools would help distinguish universal leadership mechanisms from context-specific practices.

Research should also examine how digital leadership interacts with local organizational culture and with stakeholder participation. Longitudinal studies are needed to determine whether investments in digital leadership and teacher development produce sustained changes in teaching practice rather than short-lived adoption of platforms or devices. Finally, Indonesian research should give greater attention to digital ethics, data protection, accessibility, and the inclusion of students and families in technology-related decision-making.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alajmi, Mansour K. 'The Impact of Digital Leadership on Teachers' Technology Integration during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Kuwait.' International Journal of Educational Research 112 (2022): 101928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101928.

Anderson, Ronald E., and Sara Dexter. 'School Technology Leadership: An Empirical Investigation of Prevalence and Effect.' Educational Administration Quarterly 41, no. 1 (2005): 49-82. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X04269517.

Ansar, Ansar, and Kartini Marzuki. 'Implementasi Kepemimpinan Pembelajaran Kepala Sekolah Berbasis Digital di SMAN 2 Makassar.' Seminar Nasional LP2M UNM, 2022.

Antonopoulou, Hera, Panagiota Matzavinou, Ioanna Giannoukou, and Constantinos Halkiopoulos. 'Teachers' Digital Leadership and Competencies in Primary Education: A Cross-Sectional Behavioral Study.' Education Sciences 15, no. 2 (2025): 215. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15020215.

Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 'Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology.' Qualitative Research in Psychology 3, no. 2 (2006): 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.

Creswell, John W. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE, 2014.

Dexter, Sara. 'School Technology Leadership: Artifacts in Systems of Practice.' Journal of School Leadership 21, no. 2 (2011): 166-189.

Ellis, Michael L., Yun-Hsuan Lu, and Beth Fine-Cole. 'Digital Learning for North Carolina Educational Leaders.' TechTrends 65, no. 5 (2021): 696-712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-021-00649-x.

Gunuc, Selim. 'Testing Campus-Class-Technology Theory in Student Engagement: A Large Sample Path Analysis.' Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice 25, no. 3 (2023): 492-508. https://doi.org/10.1177/1521025121995939.

Hidayat, Rais, and Yuyun Elizabeth Patras. 'Digital Leadership of School Principals in Indonesia: Strategic Interventions Needed.' Pedagogia: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan 16, no. 1 (2024): 32-42. https://doi.org/10.55215/pedagogia.v16i1.10209.

Håkansson Lindqvist, Marcia, and Fanny Pettersson. 'Digitalization and School Leadership: On the Complexity of Leading for Digitalization in School.' International Journal of Information and Learning Technology 36, no. 3 (2019): 218-230. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-11-2018-0126.

MacLeod, Jason, Harrison Hao Yang, Shengquan Zhu, and Yu Shi. 'Technological Factors and Student-to-Student Connected Classroom Climate in Cloud Classrooms.' Journal of Educational Computing Research 56, no. 6 (2018): 826-847. https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633117733999.

Mthanti, Bawinile J., and Prudence Msiza. 'The Roles of the School Principals in the Professional Development of Teachers for 21st Century Education.' Cogent Education 10, no. 2 (2023): 2267934. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2267934.

Muyambi, Grace C., and Mapule Z. Ramorola. 'Unveiling Educators' Readiness to Teach through Digital Media (DM): The Case of South Africa.' Education and Information Technologies (2025). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13310-w.

Selwyn, Neil. Education and Technology: Key Issues and Debates. 2nd ed. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2016.

Song, Hairong, Terry Kidd, and Elizabeth Owens. 'Examining Technological Disparities and Instructional Practices in English Language Arts Classroom: Implications for School Leadership and Teacher Training.' International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education 5, no. 1 (2009): 17-37. https://doi.org/10.4018/jicte.2009010102.

Sterrett, William L., and Jayson W. Richardson. 'Innovation beyond the Pandemic: The Powerful Potential of Digital Principal Leadership.' Development and

Learning in Organizations 37, no. 2 (2023): 14-17. https://doi.org/10.1108/DLO-03-2022-0059.

Vilhunen, Emilia, Veli-Matti Vesterinen, Milla Äijälä, Jari Salovaara, Jussi Siponen, Jari Lavonen, Katariina Salmela-Aro, and Laura Riuttanen. 'Promoting University Students' Situational Engagement in Online Learning for Climate Education.' Internet and Higher Education 65 (2025): 100987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2024.100987.

Williams, David G., Thomas L. Carr, and Nicole S. Clifton. 'Technology and Urban Youth: Emergent Issues Regarding Access.' Advances in Educational Administration 8 (2006): 101-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1479-3660(05)08008-X.

Wiseman, Paul J., Gregor E. Kennedy, and Jason M. Lodge. 'Models for Understanding Student Engagement in Digital Learning Environments.' In ASCILITE 2016 Conference Proceedings, 666-671. Adelaide, 2016.

Zed, Mestika. Metode Penelitian Kepustakaan. Jakarta: Yayasan Obor Indonesia, 2008.

Zhu, Rui, Bity Salwana Alias, Mohd Izham Mohd Hamzah, and Jamalullail Abdul Wahab. 'Digital Leadership in Education: A Systematic Review.' Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn) 19, no. 3 (2025): 1474-1483. https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v19i3.22187.